

# How collaborative robots can improve workplace safety in the meat sector

Each year, over 5,000 injuries in food and drink manufacturing industries are reported to the Health & Safety Executive (HSE), representing about a quarter of all manufacturing injuries reported. Around 90% of these injuries occur in food manufacture, the remainder in the drinks sector. According to statistics gathered by HSE, processing poultry and meat consistently rank as the most hazardous jobs and remain the top two causes of injury in the food and drink sector.

by Andrew Armstrong,  
Sales and Marketing Manager,  
FANUC UK.  
[www.fanuc.eu](http://www.fanuc.eu)

In meat, poultry and fish processing and packing, being struck by hand tools, the manual lifting of heavy and awkward loads, slips on greasy or wet flooring, and the use of machinery, such as conveyors, bandsaws, derinders, skinning machines, pie and tart machines and packaging machinery are the key causes of injury. Yet, robot technology, including collaborative robots, are now taking on many of the repetitive and strenuous tasks that cause musculoskeletal injury from manual handling, and work-related upper limb disorders (WRULDs) from repetitive work.

As many meat processors are discovering, robots can actually improve safe working practices at all stages of production and packing. Effective and secure guarding is central and integral to every installation. Now we are starting to see the next generation of collaborative robots (Cobots) emerge from cages and undertake tasks in close proximity to people. Here too, the same care is being taken to minimise risk.

## Robots are becoming more humanoid

Industrial robots are automated moving devices with multiple axes. Motion paths, sequences and angles can be freely programmed and controlled by sensors. Robots can also

be fitted with grippers and other tools, enabling them to manipulate objects and carry out production tasks. What's more, they are well suited to carry out many different automated tasks and make frequent changes to batches and products.

Traditionally, the automotive industry has led the way to use robots on a large scale. Yet, if used without care, robots can be dangerous to humans. Back in 1961 when General Motors introduced the first industrial robot to its production line, humans were at high risk inside a robot's work zone. In the decades since, robot manufacturers such as FANUC have spent enormous effort and money to produce models with the best possible safety levels.

As robots have become more refined, and applied across more industry sectors, mainly for heavy work, devices are used to monitor a robot's surroundings, such as vision and force sensors that allow robots to see and feel what is around them.

While meat processing robots can slice, dice, debone, mince and pack, when it comes to skilled cutting, particularly in the beef industry, full robotic butchery remains a few years off. This is in part due to the fabrication of the animal; beef processing is inherently more difficult to automate. That's because the art of butchering requires touch, not just sight. Nevertheless, sometime soon there will be a robotic solution that can gauge how deep a bone is. Already, scientists are looking to endow future robots with a human-like sense of touch using smart synthetic skins.

## Collaborative robots

Over the last few years, collaborative robots have emerged. In contrast to traditional robots, which cannot operate in an operator-occupied workspace without safety fencing, these cage-free robots can work side by side with humans on shared or separate tasks.

Although collaborative robots do not eliminate the need for workplace risk assessments, the increased adoption of peripheral



New generation collaborative robots (Cobots) can work side-by-side with humans on shared or separate tasks and can be used in many food applications, including meat.

safety devices is enabling robots and humans to work in close proximity of each other, eradicating the fear of interrupting production or worse, an accident.

Cobots are equipped with force sensing to limit their power and force: in any situation they can feel or detect an abnormal force and stop their motion immediately. Although they still cannot avoid a crash, Cobots can reduce its impact and avoid certain types of incidents, like crushing accidents. This makes them safer to work alongside humans.

safe areas, with adaptive zones that allow for more compact cell layouts and which allow robots and humans to share a common space in a controlled way.

- DCS speed check monitors the speed of the robot to ensure safe operation. Using the inputs from devices such as floor scanners, the robot slows down to a safe speed, which is then safely monitored by the DCS function. If the robot goes over the monitored speed, the DCS function will stop the robot.

## Avoiding heavy lifting

Another aspect of safety where robots can help is preventing injury to humans through heavy lifting. This is especially prevalent in meat, particularly the handling of carcasses before butchering and the palletising of prepared and packed products.

With health and safety regulations stipulating 25kg as the maximum load an operator may handle, there is a real requirement for a robotic solution to handle loads that exceed this limit.

FANUC has extended the application field for collaborative robots with a model that has a higher payload than any other on the market. The human-safe CR-35iA has a 35kg payload, opening up applications

*Continued on page 12*

*Continued from page 11*  
that have previously been off limits for both traditional industrial robots and lighter duty collaborative robots, particularly in difficult-to-access areas where conventional assist machinery cannot fit or where a six-axis robot adds dexterity.

### Risk assessments

As collaborative robots are working alongside humans without any type of shield or guarding, it raises a new level of complexity. In line with ISO 10218 and ISO/TS 15066 standards, which relate to the collaborative operation and safety functions, end users or integrators will need to do a complete risk assessment to prove that their robotic application using a collaborative robot is safe.

When doing a risk assessment, while the robot itself may be safe, the entire robotic system has to be considered, including grippers and any other peripheral equipment.

### Gripper technology

Grippers are handling equipment that secure position and orientation in relation to the handling device when picking up and depositing objects. Most grippers work on a

mechanical, pneumatic, electric or adhesive principle. Grippers also include vacuum suckers. The gripper must cope with the physical and mechanical properties of the object and handle it without leaving any visible marks or damage.

From a safety point of view, grippers can cause pinching or crushing injuries and the risks of these should be taken into account in any risk assessment.

### Safety standards

In 2013, the first safety standards for collaborative robotics, ANSI/RIA R15.06, were published. More recently, the ISO/TS 15066 standard was published in March 2016. It specifically outlines guidance for and the requirements of collaborative industrial robot systems, such as contact forces and pressures that can be applied to different regions of the body.

### Practical measures

In order to ensure that humans are not exposed to unacceptable risks when working collaboratively, the current standards describe four separate measures that can be used to provide risk reduction.



**Robots can also operate with no safety fences using Dual Check Safety (DCS) and a series of area scanners to safely monitor robot motion.**

It is required that at least one of these is fulfilled, in addition to having visual indication that the robot is in collaborative operation.

The four measures are:

● **Safety-rated monitored stop:** when it is detected that a human has entered the collaborative workspace, the robot should stop. The stop condition should then be maintained until the human leaves the workspace.

● **Hand guiding:** The human can guide the robot by hand. Additional requirements for safety include safe-limited speed

monitoring and a local emergency stop.

#### ● **Speed and separation:**

The robot must maintain a specified separation distance from the human and operate at a pre-determined speed. This measure requires careful risk assessment and needs to take account of safety distances.

#### ● **Power and force limiting by inherent design or control:**

The power and force of the robot actuators need to be monitored by safety-related control systems to ensure that they are within limits established by a risk assessment. ■